## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America		ORDER OF D	ETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
	v. Israel Figueroa-Lopez	Case No. 1:09-0	r-00330JTN		
	Defendant	0400 1101 1100 0	3333 377		
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail R efendant be detained pending trial.	eform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142	(f), I conclude that these facts require		
	Part I – I	Findings of Fact			
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of a federal offense a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had existed – that is				
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § which the prison term is 10 years or more.	3156(a)(4), or an offense lis	ted in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B), for		
	an offense for which the maximum sentence	is death or life imprisonment			
	an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is preso	cribed in: *		
	a felony committed after the defendant had b U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable sta		e prior federal offenses described in 18		
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but i				
	the possession or use of a firearr a failure to register under 18 U.S	n or destructive device or ar .C. § 2250	y other dangerous weapon		
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	while the defendant was on	release pending trial for a federal, state		
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction	_ defendant's release from prison for the		
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presi- person or the community. I further find that defende				
	Alternat	ive Findings (A)			
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defenda	int has committed an offense	<b>÷</b>		
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years  Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et  under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		<u>*</u>		
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption es will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance				
	Alternat	ive Findings (B)			
<b>√</b> (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not ap	•			
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endar		•		
	Part II – Statement o	f the Reasons for Detention	on .		
1	find that the testimony and information submitted at	the detention bearing establi	ichae by / clear and convincing		

I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by <u>\(\sigm\)</u> clear and convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:

- 1. Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest detention at this time.
- 2. Defendant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be released in any case.
- 3. Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the court's attention should his circumstances change.

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	November 10, 2009	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge